P. 01

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number		09/498,515	
			Confirmation Number		8911	הארכה
			Filing Date		02/04/2000	CENTRAL FAX CENTE
			First Named Inventor		Howard G. Page	CENTRAL FAXICENTE
			Art Unit		3622	MAR 0 9 2004
			Examiner Name		Young, John L.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3			Attorney Docket Number		1285	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ENCLO	SURES	(check all that apply)		
☐ Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Re	Petition			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund			Telephone Interview Summary	
		CD, Number of CD(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks is determined that		fees are due in this matter. However, if it ses are due, the Commissioner is Deposit Account No. 21-0765 for the		
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					·	
	SIGNAT	TURE OF A	APPLIC.	ANT, ATTORNEY, O	R AGENT	
Firm Gregg L. Jansen, Reg. No. 46,799						
Signature 450 MM Panalem .						
Date March 9, 2004						
		CEF	TIFICA	TE OF MAILING		
				ansmitted to the USPTO 3-1450 on the date show		9306 addressed to:
Typed or printed nam	e Julie M. Jung	······································			***************************************	
Signature	Livin	M.	Ju	ng	Date March 9,	2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, enould be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and celect option 2.

Practitioner's Docket No. 1285

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Howard G. Page

Application No.: 09/498,515

Filed: February 4, 2000

Examiner: John L. Young

Group No.: 3622

For: ADVERTISING INSERTION FOR A VIDEO-ON-DEMAND SYSTEM

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicant submits this telephone interview summary to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. \S 1.133(b), and according to the requirements listed in MPEP \S 713.04.

<u>Date/Type of Interview:</u> A telephone interview was conducted on March 9, 2004, concerning the non-final Office Action dated December 10, 2003.

Examiner: John L. Young

Name of Applicant's attorney: Gregg Jansen

Exhibits shown or demonstrations conducted: None

Claims discussed: Claim 1, specifically the claim element "disabling fast-forward capability when the selected video advertising is displayed."

Prior art discussed: Rangan et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,006,265, Specifically, col. 13, lines 5-14 and col. 26, lines 33-43.

General thrust of Examiner's arguments:

Examiner Young cited a portion of col. 13, lines 10-12, which states: "Overt commercials are those that appear unless the SUV makes some effort, such as 'fast-forward' or branch on link, to avoid them."

Examiner Young's position was that, through permissible hindsight, a fast-forward disabling capability could be inferred into Rangan.

General thrust of Applicant's arguments:

Applicant's position was that the inference put forth in the Office Action dated December 10, 2003, comprises a double inference, i.e., the Office Action

not only infers a fast-forwarding capability into Rangan, but the Office Action further infers disabling a fast-forward capability during display of a selected video

advertising. Rangan does not recite disabling a fast-forwarding capability or disabling a fast-forwarding capability during display of a selected video

advertising.

Agreement reached and general nature of the agreement:

No agreement was reached. Examiner Young suggested that Applicant submit a Request for Reconsideration, and that Applicant request additional prior art searching.

<u>Proposed amendments:</u> None <u>Other pertinent matters:</u> None

Date: 3/9/04

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Gregg Jansen, Reg. No. 46,799 Duft Setter Ollila & Bornsen LLC Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 14

Facsimile: (303) 938-9995

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 028004

Harley R. Ball Sprint Law Department 6391 Sprint Parkway

Mailstop: KSOPHT0101-Z2100 Overland Park, KS 66251-2100